



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,879	04/16/2004	Balasubrahmanyam Gattu	2003.10.006.WS0	1446
23590	7590	01/24/2008	EXAMINER	
DOCKET CLERK P.O. DRAWER 800889 DALLAS, TX 75380			GREENE, JOSEPH L.	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	4152			
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
01/24/2008	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/826,879	Applicant(s) GATTU ET AL.
	Examiner JOSEPH L. GREENE	Art Unit 4152

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 April 2004.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 April 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/0256/06)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 - 24 are pending in this application. Claims 4, 10, 16, and 22 are being amended by amendment files dated 8/9/2004 and 8/24/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gieseke et al. (Pre-Grant Publication No. US 2003/0069955 A1), hereinafter Gieseke, in view of Applicant's own Admitted Prior-Art, hereinafter AAPA.**

4. With respect to claim 1, Gieseke teaches a system **for use in a communication network, a first object-oriented device (0012, lines 1-6) capable of communicating with a second object-oriented device in said communication network (0011, lines 1-6, where the responding is the communicating with the first device), said first object-oriented device comprising: a plurality of objects executable by processing circuitry associated with said first object-oriented device (0012, lines 1-11); and an object conduit management information base (MIB) manager (0042, lines 1-10, where the SNMP Agent or the configuration server both perform the tasks of the conduit MIB i.e. gathering, parsing, mapping, and conveying data from MIB objects and**

transferring the data to another MIB object) **capable of gathering data from one or more of said plurality of objects and generating therefrom a management information base (MIB) data structure** (0042, lines 19-22) **suitable for communicating with said second object-oriented device using a specified protocol interface** (0011, lines 1-6, where the responding is the communicating with the first device. Furthermore, it is inherent that there will be a specific protocol for use in a network).

While Gieseke doesn't directly disclose the device being a telecommunication device, the elements listed can be used for that purpose. Furthermore, AAPA does teach telecommunication devices (0004, lines 1-10). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill, in the art at the time of the invention, to modify the teachings of Gieseke in order to perform telecommunication tasks, as taught by AAPA. Telecommunication is and was a highly sought after field in computer networks. Setting up a telecommunication network would likely have been one of the uses for the system taught by Gieseke even though it wasn't directly disclosed.

5. As for claim 2, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 1 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said specified protocol interface is Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)** (0010, lines 1-3).

6. As for claim 3, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 1 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said MIB data structure comprises an object identifier**

(ID) associated with a target object in said second object-oriented telecommunication device (0050, lines 6-8).

7. As for claim 4, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 3 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said MIB data structure comprises a target method 1D (0050, lines 6-8) identifying a selected method associated with said target object and at least one method parameter associated with said selected method (0050, lines 8-14).**

8. As for claim 5, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 4 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said object conduit MIB manager comprises an interface controller (0042, lines 6-10, where configuration objects act as an interface controller) capable of communicating with said one or more of said plurality of objects and gathering said data from said one or more of said plurality of objects (0012, lines 1-11).**

9. As for claim 6, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 1 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said object conduit management information base (MIB) manager is further capable of receiving a response MIB data structure from said second object-oriented telecommunication device (0011, lines 1-6, where the responding is the communicating with the first device), extracting data from said**

response MIB data structure (0042, lines 10-15), and distributing said extracted data to said one or more of said plurality of objects (0012, lines 1-11).

10. With respect to claim 7, Gieseke teaches a system **for use in a communication network, a first object-oriented device (0012, lines 1-6) capable of communicating with a second object-oriented device in said communication network (0011, lines 1-6, where the responding is the communicating with the first device), said first object-oriented device comprising: a plurality of objects executable by processing circuitry associated with said first object-oriented device (0012, lines 1-11); and an object conduit management information base (MIB) manager (0042, lines 1-10, where the SNMP Agent or the configuration server both perform the tasks of the conduit MIB i.e. gathering, parsing, mapping, and conveying data from MIB objects and transferring the data to another MIB object) capable of receiving a management information base (MIB) data structure from said second object-oriented telecommunication device using a specified protocol interface (0011, lines 1-6, where the responding is the communicating with the first device. Furthermore, it is inherent that there will be a specific protocol for use in a network), extracting data from said received MIB data structure (0042, lines 10-15), and distributing said extracted data to one or more of said plurality of objects (0012, lines 1-11).**

While Gieseke doesn't directly disclose the device being a telecommunication device, the elements listed can be used for that purpose. Furthermore, AAPA does teach telecommunication devices (0004, lines 1-10). It would have been obvious to a

person of ordinary skill, in the art at the time of the invention, to modify the teachings of Gieseke in order to perform telecommunication tasks, as taught by AAPA. Telecommunication is and was a highly sought after field in computer networks. Setting up a telecommunication network would likely have been one of the uses for the system taught by Gieseke even though it wasn't directly disclosed.

11. As for claim 8, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 7 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said specified protocol interface is Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)** (0010, lines 1-3).

12. As for claim 9, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 7 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said MIB data structure comprises an object identifier (ID)** (0050, lines 6-8) **associated with a target one of said one or more of said plurality of objects in said first object-oriented telecommunication device** (0012, lines 1-11, where the information listed is the pointed to plurality of objects).

13. As for claim 10, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 9 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said MIB data structure comprises a target method ID** (0050, lines 6-8) **identifying a selected method associated with said target object and at least one method parameter associated with said selected method** (0050, lines 8-14).

14. As for claim 11, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 10 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said object conduit MIB agent comprises an interface controller** (0042, lines 6-10, where configuration objects act as an interface controller) **capable of communicating with said one or more of said plurality of objects** (0011, lines 1-6, where responding is communicating) **and distributing said extracted data to said one or more of said plurality of objects** (0042, lines 10-15).

15. As for claim 12, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 7 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said object conduit MIB agent is further capable of gathering data from said one or more of said plurality of objects and generating therefrom a response management information base (MIB) data structure** (0042, lines 19-22) **suitable for communicating with said second object-oriented telecommunication device using said specified protocol interface** (0011, lines 1-6, where the responding is the communicating with the first device. Furthermore, it is inherent that there will be a specific protocol for use in a network).

16. With respect to claim 13, Gieseke teaches **a communication network comprising: a first object-oriented device** (0012, lines 1-6) **capable of communicating with a second object-oriented device in said communication network** (0011, lines 1-6, where the responding is the communicating with the first device), **said first object-oriented device comprising: a plurality of objects executable by processing circuitry associated with said first object-oriented**

device (0012, lines 1-11); and an object conduit management information base (MIB) manager (0042, lines 1-10, where the SNMP Agent or the configuration server both perform the tasks of the conduit MIB i.e. gathering, parsing, mapping, and conveying data from MIB objects and transferring the data to another MIB object) capable of gathering data from one or more of said plurality of objects and generating therefrom a management information base (MIB) data structure (0042, lines 19-22) suitable for communicating with said second object-oriented device using a specified protocol interface (0011, lines 1-6, where the responding is the communicating with the first device. Furthermore, it is inherent that there will be a specific protocol for use in a network).

While Gieseke doesn't directly disclose the device being a telecommunication device, the elements listed can be used for that purpose. Furthermore, AAPA does teach telecommunication devices (0004, lines 1-10). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill, in the art at the time of the invention, to modify the teachings of Gieseke in order to perform telecommunication tasks, as taught by AAPA. Telecommunication is and was a highly sought after field in computer networks. Setting up a telecommunication network would likely have been one of the uses for the system taught by Gieseke even though it wasn't directly disclosed.

17. As for claim 14, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 13 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said specified protocol interface is Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) (0010, lines 1-3).**

18. As for claim 15, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 13 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said MIB data structure comprises an object identifier (ID) associated with a target object in said second object-oriented telecommunication device** (0050, lines 6-8).

19. As for claim 16, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 15 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said MIB data structure comprises a target method ID (0050, lines 6-8) identifying a selected method associated with said target object and at least one method parameter associated with said selected method** (0050, lines 8-14).

20. As for claim 17, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 16 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said object conduit MIB manager comprises an interface controller** (0042, lines 6-10, where configuration objects act as an interface controller) **capable of communicating with said one or more of said plurality of objects and gathering said data from said one or more of said plurality of objects** (0012, lines 1-11).

21. As for claim 18, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 13 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said object conduit management information base (MIB) manager** (0042, lines 1-10, where the SNMP agent and configuration server carries out

the job of the conduit MIB) is further capable of receiving a response MIB data structure from said second object-oriented telecommunication device (0011, lines 1-6, where each device is capable of receiving and responding), extracting data from said response MIB data structure, and distributing said extracted data to said one or more of said plurality of objects (0042, lines 10-15).

22. As for claim 19, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 13 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said second object-oriented telecommunication device (0011, lines 1-6, where the responding is the communicating with the first device) comprises: a plurality of objects executable by processing circuitry associated with said second object-oriented telecommunication device (0012, lines 1-11); and an object conduit management information base (MIB) agent capable of receiving said management information base (MIB) data structure from said first object-oriented telecommunication device (0042, lines 1-10, where the SNMP Agent or the configuration server both perform the tasks of the conduit MIB), extracting data from said received MIB data structure (0042, lines 10-15), and distributing said extracted data to one or more of said plurality of objects (0012, lines 1-11).**

23. As for claim 20, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 19 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said specified protocol interface is Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) (0010, lines 1-3).**

24. As for claim 21, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 19 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said MIB data structure comprises an object identifier (ID) (0050, lines 6-8) associated with a target one of said one or more of said plurality of objects in said first object-oriented telecommunication device (0012, lines 1-11, where the information listed is the pointed to plurality of objects).**

25. As for claim 22, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 21 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said MIB data structure comprises a target method ID (0050, lines 6-8) identifying a selected method associated with said target object and at least one method parameter associated with said selected method (0050, lines 8-14).**

26. As for claim 23, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 22 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said object conduit MIB agent comprises an interface controller (0042, lines 6-10, where configuration objects act as an interface controller) capable of communicating with said one or more of said plurality of objects (0011, lines 1-6, where responding is communicating) and distributing said extracted data to said one or more of said plurality of objects (0042, lines 10-15).**

27. As for claim 24, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 19 above. In addition, Gieseke teaches **wherein said object conduit MIB agent (0042, lines 1-10, where the SNMP agent and configuration server perform the operations of the conduit MIB) is**

further capable of gathering data from said one or more of said plurality of objects in said second object-oriented telecommunication devices (0012, lines 1-11) and generating therefrom a response management information base (MIB) data structure (0042, lines 19-22) suitable for communicating with said first object-oriented device using a specified protocol interface (0011, lines 1-6, where the responding is the communicating with the first device. Furthermore, it is inherent that there will be a specific protocol for use in a network).

Conclusion

28. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSEPH L. GREENE whose telephone number is (571)270-3730. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nabil El-Hady can be reached on (571) 272-3963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JLG

/Nabil El-Hady/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4152

Application/Control Number: 10/826,879
Art Unit: 4152

Page 14